

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
HAMMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
 )  
 v. ) CRIMINAL NO. 2:06 MJ 184  
 )  
 JAMES DANIEL )

OPINION AND ORDER OF DETENTION

At the August 21, 2006 initial appearance, the government requested that the defendant, James Daniel, be held without bond. A detention hearing was conducted on August 24, 2006, and the court now makes the following findings of fact:

1. The defendant currently is charged in a one count criminal complaint with a violation of 18 U.S.C. §2422(b). If convicted, the defendant faces a mandatory minimum sentence of ten years imprisonment and a maximum sentence of life imprisonment.

2. At the detention hearing, the government proffered evidence to supplement the probable cause affidavit and the prebond report. The defendant also proffered evidence.

3. Between August 7 and August 16, 2006, the defendant engaged in five online conversations with a police officer posing as a 13 year old girl.

4. On August 8, 2006, the following conversation occurred:<sup>1</sup>

(4:11:07 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: can you finger yourself  
(4:11:35 PM) amanda\_13.2006: i better not  
(4:11:52 PM) amanda\_13.2006: i don't want to get n trouble  
(4:12:16 PM) jackdaniels)19962002: are you bare or do you have hair  
down ther  
(4:12:33 PM) amanda\_13.2006: i have a little

---

<sup>1</sup> The conversation contained typographical errors, abbreviations, and other jargon, and it has been reproduced in its original format.

.....  
(4:15:03 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: do you want to have sex  
(4:15:21 PM) amanda\_13.2006: somday  
(4:15:32 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: would you soon  
(4:16:21 PM) amanda\_13.2006: i dunno  
(4:16:26 PM) amanda\_13.2006: probably  
(4:16:40 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: with who  
(4:16:49 PM) amanda\_13.2006: i dunno  
(4:17:01 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: me  
(4:17:12 PM) amanda\_13.2006: maybe

5. On August 14, 2006, the following conversation occurred:

(6:05:19 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: if i get you a beer can i slide in-  
side you  
(6:05:48 PM) amanda\_13.2006: with a candom  
(6:06:22 PM) amanda\_13.2006: but if it hurts u have to stop  
(6:06:48 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: i will  
.....  
(6:08:44 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: will you suck on it then  
(6:09:09 PM) amanda\_13.2006: ya  
(6:09:25 PM) jackdaniels\_19962002: will you until i cum  
(6:09:37 PM) amanda\_13.2006: i can try

6. On August 18, 2006, the defendant was arrested when he arrived at a predetermined location to have sex with a 13 year old girl.

7. At the time of his arrest, the defendant was employed at the Speedway gas station in Portage, Indiana.

8. At the time of his arrest, the defendant lived with his parents. His sister and two minor children live in a garage on his parents' property. One of these children is a seven year old girl.

Under 18 U.S.C. §3142(e), a defendant may be detained as a danger to the community if he is charged with a crime of violence under Section 3142(f)(1)(A). The defendant is charged with using the internet to solicit sex with someone he believed to be a 13 year old girl in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2422(b). If the defend-

ant is charged with a crime of violence, there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of detention.

In *United States v. Munro*, 394 F.3d 865 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005), the defendant was charged with using the internet to solicit sex with a minor in violation of Section 2422(b) along with carrying a firearm during a crime of violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. §924(c). The Court of Appeals concluded that the attempted sexual abuse of a minor was a crime of violence and upheld the Section 924(c) conviction. 394 F.3d at 870-871. *See also United States v. Abad*, 350 F.3d 793, 798-99 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003) (where the defendant was charged with traveling in interstate commerce to engage in sexual activity with a minor in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2423(b), the Court of Appeals held that the defendant was charged with a crime of violence and that the district court erred in releasing the defendant on bond); *United States v. Champion*, 248 F.3d 502, 506 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001) (in sentencing the defendant following his conviction under Section 2422(b) and other statutes designed to protect minors, it was held that the defendant was convicted of a crime of violence and that an enhancement was appropriate under Section 4B1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines); *United States v. Searcy*, 418 F.3d 1193, 1197 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005) (finding that a violation of Section 2422(b) was a crime of violence under Section 4B1.1).

In *United States v. Vargas*, 332 F.3d 471 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003), the Seventh Circuit reviewed the Application Note to Section 2L1.2 and concluded that any sexual abuse of a minor constitutes

a crime of violence. 332 F.3d at 473-74. *See also United States v. Martinez*, 250 F.3d 1101, 1105 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001) ("Engaging in sexual intercourse with a thirteen-year-old girl was a 'crime of violence'").

The foregoing cases are consistent with the definition of "crime of violence" under Section 3156(a)(4)(B):

the term "crime of violence" means -

\* \* \*

- (B) any other offense that is a felony and that, by its nature, involves a substantial risk that physical force against the person or property of another may be used in the course of committing the offense

. . .

For purposes of Section 3156(a)(4)(B), a charge under Section 2422(b) is a crime of violence.

In determining whether detention is appropriate, one factor to be considered is "the weight of the evidence against the person . . ." Section 3142(g)(2) In the instant case, the government has a transcript of the entire conversation from the internet chat room. The defendant was arrested when he traveled to a predetermined location to have sex with a minor girl.

The government has demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is a danger to the community and "that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure . . . the safety of . . . the community . . ." Section 3142(e) After engaging in five sexually explicit conversations with a police officer posing as a 13 year old girl, the defendant

was arrested when he attempted to meet with her to engage in sexual activities.

The defendant lives with his parents, and a seven year old niece lives in a garage that has been converted to an apartment. It would be difficult to provide restrictions on the defendant's activities which would deny him access to a computer and minor children. Under all of the circumstances, it is unlikely that the Probation Department could effectively monitor the defendant if released on bond.

The government's motion for pretrial detention is **GRANTED**, and the defendant is **ORDERED HELD WITHOUT BOND**. 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(i), it is further **ORDERED** that:

- A. The defendant shall be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement to a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons who are confined after a conviction;
- B. The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunities for private consultation with his attorney; and
- C. The defendant shall be delivered to the custody of the United States Marshal when the appearance of each defendant for any court proceeding is required.

ENTERED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006

s/ Andrew P. Rodovich  
United States Magistrate Judge